This article presents a state-of-the-art review of machine learning (ML) methods and applications used in smart grids to predict and optimise energy management. The article discusses the challenges facing smart grids, and how ML can help address them, using a new taxonomy to categorise ML models by method and domain. It describes the different ML techniques used in smart grids as well as examining various smart grid use cases, including demand response, energy forecasting, fault detection, and grid optimisation, and explores how ML can improve these cases. The article proposes a new taxonomy for categorising ML models and evaluates their performance based on accuracy, interpretability, and computational efficiency. Finally, it discusses some of the limitations and challenges of using ML in smart grid applications and attempts to predict future trends. Overall, the article highlights how ML can enable efficient and reliable smart grid systems.
INTRODUCTION

The smart grid (SG) is an upgraded type of electrical grid that improves reliability, security, and efficiency using advanced technology, facilitating real-time communication for managing power supply and demand. It promotes the integration of renewable energy sources and supports electric vehicles and distributed energy resources, reducing reliance on fossil fuels. It also enhances grid resilience and security, potentially transforming the electricity sector into a more sustainable and dependable energy system. In Ahmad et al.'s (2007) review, they highlight the unique challenges arising from the growing integration of energy storages and renewable energy sources into the conventional power systems and AI. This shift requires forward-thinking investments in SG technologies, integrating advanced measurement equipments, controllable transmission assets, and software control systems. Kwak and Heo (2007) stress the importance of creating a resilient and adaptable infrastructure capable of responding to both internal and external changes, given the intricate interconnectedness of modern infrastructure systems, which can magnify the impact of local disruptions into broader cascade failures. The vision for the SG, as presented by Bari et al. (2014), is of a profound transformation in the electric power sector. This transformation centres on the integration of bidirectional power and information flows, addressing critical factors like capacity, efficiency, reliability, sustainability, consumer engagement, and the ever-growing energy demand. It promotes a range of generation and storage solutions, and advocates for environmentally responsible practices. Ardito et al. (2013) add that the development of the SG entails enhancing the existing network with new features and services while preserving the core physical infrastructure, marking a significant stride toward a more resilient and adaptable power system. The conventional electricity grid, often referred to as the legacy or analogue grid, functions as a one-way system in which electricity is centrally generated and transmitted to consumers via long-distance lines. In contrast, the SG is a modernised grid that harnesses advanced digital technology to enhance the power system's reliability, security, and efficiency.
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The SG stands out due to four key features: bidirectional communication, facilitating real-time monitoring and management of electricity supply and demand; integration of RESs such as solar and wind; support for electric vehicles and distributed energy resources. It reduces dependency on fossil fuels, and enhances environmental sustainability. Moreover, robust security measures provide protection against potential threats. Figure 1 visually demonstrates the information and energy flow within the SG infrastructure, a concept absent in the traditional power system.

In the context of modern SGs, Berghout et al. (2022) emphasise the critical importance of condition monitoring, which is facilitated by cutting-edge computing technology and secure cyber-physical connectivity. ML, and particularly deep learning (DL), has rapidly advanced and demonstrated exceptional performance in various SG-related activities, as highlighted by Xu et al. (2022). The transition from traditional power distribution systems, which relied on human intervention, to more robust SGs has played a crucial role in ensuring reliable power delivery, as discussed by Elbouchikhi et al. (2021). Effectively processing the extensive data within SGs, required for tasks such as power flow optimisation and system monitoring, necessitates dynamic energy management, as elucidated by Hossain et al. (2019). ML and DL techniques offer valuable tools for SG development, encompassing three key phases: the constituting element phase, the process phase, and the power
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converter stage, as discussed by Behara and Saha (2022). However, developments such as the integration of prosumers into SGs, power system decarbonisation using blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), and the functionalities of various SG applications represent both challenges and opportunities, as highlighted by Hua et al. (2022). Applications of SG include such aspects as demand response initiatives, automated data processing for SCADA systems, voltage stability assessment, smart city planning, and home automation, as noted by Chaurasia and Kamath (2022). The possible sources of disruption, including harmonic production, load variations, and wiring and grounding issues pose risks to the electricity supply system, as explained by Rangel-Martinez et al. (2021). Widespread implementation of advanced technologies like 5G and specialised algorithms plays a pivotal role in developing ML-based sustainable non-industrial energy management applications, as outlined by Omitaomu and Niu (2021). Security issues in SGs, particularly the threat of false data attacks, have substantial implications and are a subject of concern, as addressed by Cui et al. (2020).

**Figure 2: Machine learning’s role in SG**

*Source: compiled by the authors based on an adaptation from Ahmad et al. 2022.*
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Additionally, concerns about cybersecurity emerge due to the heavy reliance of SGs on communication technologies, while the management of extensive data volumes for privacy and analytical purposes presents an additional obstacle. Enhancing grid resilience to natural disasters, achieving regulatory standardisation, fostering consumer engagement, addressing the high initial infrastructure costs, and seamlessly integrating diverse energy resources constitute critical areas for enhancement. Making sufficient progress in these domains requires collaborative efforts among stakeholders and advancements in technology to facilitate the widespread adoption of sustainable electricity grids. In this regard, Raza and Khosravi (2015) review techniques for developing SGs and building load demand forecasting based on AI, with Figure 2 illustrating the various applications of AI, computational intelligence, and ML across different aspects of SG.\textsuperscript{16}

**METHODOLOGY**

This section presents an overview of the classification and research methodology employed in the comprehensive review study. It first explicitly delineates the primary taxonomy of the article, followed by an explanation of the process utilised for information gathering and conducting related investigations. The section is structured into six main segments, including an introduction, details of the study’s execution, its primary objectives, a review of studies focusing on energy demand forecasting, the introduction of evaluation criteria, and a presentation of research findings. The central focus of this review is the examination of machine learning applications in smart grids (SGs). The study follows the PRISMA standard for data collection, which consists of four essential phases: identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion. Initially, a total of 550 articles were identified, and following a rigorous evaluation, 89 articles were chosen for in-depth analysis, constituting the qualitative and quantitative foundation of the study. Figure 3 offers a visual representation of the flowchart illustrating the PRISMA technique employed in constructing the study’s database.
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RESULTS

Artificial Neural Network

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are ML algorithms inspired by the structure of the human brain and are thus well-suited for pattern recognition and feature extraction tasks in SGs. They are pivotal in load forecasting, fault detection, and energy management within SGs, and have been widely adopted by researchers and practitioners. ANNs predict electricity demand based on factors such as weather and time, optimising grid operations and reducing the need for additional energy generation or storage. They also excel at identifying power transmission line faults, enhancing grid performance, and preventing outages through sensor data analysis. In energy management, ANNs optimise the operation of distributed energy resources, such as photovoltaic panels and wind turbines, using historical data and predictive analysis, and thus significantly improving SG efficiency, reliability, and sustainability. The creation of ANN networks involves a crucial training process with connections and nodes, detailed in Equation (1).\footnote{Zain et al. 2012.}

\[ I_j(t) = \sum_i O_i(t)w_{ij} + w_{0j} \]  

(1)
The equation provided describes the input value (Ij) from neuron i to neuron j, with Oj representing the output value of neuron i. The weight value is denoted as wij, and the related bias for neuron j is woj. A simplified representation of a basic ANN approach in the presence of related components is depicted in Figure 4. The development of an ANN approach typically involves three stages: training, testing, and validation.

![Figure 4: The architecture of ANN](source: compiled by the authors based on an adaptation of a standard ANN.)

ANNs find application in SG contexts, such as material selection for dye-sensitised solar cells, based on Bhagya Raj et al. (2022). These networks have demonstrated their prowess at capturing nonlinear relationships even without prior knowledge and exhibit high degree of accuracy, with metrics like root mean square error (RMSE), high correlation coefficients, and low relative deviation, as exemplified in Li et al.’s (2021) photovoltaic fault detection study. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), incorporating feedback loops, excel at tasks such as time-series forecasting but may face challenges with long-term dependencies. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are adept at grid-structured data tasks like image recognition but may be less effective for non-grid-structured data. Autoencoders efficiently handle data compression and noise removal in SG applications, but their effectiveness depends on data quality. Common ANN configurations include multi-layer perceptrons and the implementation of the back-propagation learning technique. ANNs, as described by Jawad et al. (2021), are a ML technique inspired by biological neurons, sharing computational parallels with human brain learning processes.
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suitable for basic SG tasks like regression and classification. However, FNNs may struggle with complex relationships in nonlinear data. ANNs aim to create links between input and output variables through data-driven learning procedures. Additionally, a study by Dong et al. (2003) underscored the extensive application of radial basis function networks and multi-layer perceptron's in function approximation, contributing to the development of Support Vector Machines (SVMs).

SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINES

SVMs are versatile supervised learning algorithms applied in SGs for both classification and regression tasks, addressing such challenges as load forecasting, fault detection, and power quality event classification. SVMs excel at handling high-dimensional power systems data, being robust to noise, and can perform well even with limited training data. They effectively model nonlinear relationships between features, enhancing system accuracy. SVM integration in SG applications has the potential to improve power system efficiency, reliability, and security when using advanced ML. In SVM, data analysis for regression and classification creates reliable prediction models, assigning new instances during training. The closest data points to the hyperplane, referred to as support vectors, influence both the hyperplane’s position and orientation. It is essential to maximise the margin, which is the distance between the support vectors and the hyperplane, when selecting the hyperplane. Even a slight alteration in the position of these support vectors can change the hyperplane. Nu-SVM introduces the “nu” parameter, providing flexibility in controlling support vectors and errors. Despite offering a more intuitive trade-off, selecting an appropriate “nu” value involves difficulties, with interpretations varying across datasets. Equation (2) represents any hyperplane as the set of desired points, as explained by Blanco et al. (2022).\(^{21}\)

\[
\vec{\omega} \cdot x_1 - b = 0. \tag{2}
\]

Here, \(\vec{\omega}\) is the standard vector to the hyperplane. \(\frac{b}{\vec{\omega}}\) represents the offset value of the hyperplane from the origin along the normal vector.

Within SVM, two types of margins are considered: soft margins and hard margins. The concept behind the soft margin is to permit SVM to make minor errors, thus enabling the widest possible margin to correctly classify other data points. Linear-SVM seeks optimal class separation through a hyperplane, maximising the margin with support vectors. It excels at high-dimensional spaces for approximately linear relationships but is less effective with complex, nonlinear data and is sensitive to outliers. This approach leads to a distinct optimisation problem, as shown in equation (3).\(^{22}\)

\(^{21}\) Blancone et al. 2022.

\(^{22}\) Ranganathan et al. 2011.
In this context, $\lambda$ represents the trade-off between the margin size increase and the requirement for $x_i$ to remain on the current side of the margin. Nonlinear-SVM with Kernels extends linear SVM, effectively capturing complex relationships through higher-dimensional transformations. It is versatile in scenarios with nonlinear boundaries and its performance relies on kernel selection, which raises challenges and increased computational costs. Support Vector Regression adapts SVM for regression, effectively minimising deviations within a specified margin and handling nonlinear relationships. It is robust to outliers. It demands careful parameter selection, particularly with large datasets. Various studies have highlighted the versatility of SVMs in different applications. Diana et al. (2019) emphasised the adaptability and high accuracy of SVMs for electromyographic signal classification. Multiclass-SVM expands binary SVM for multiple classes, utilising one-vs-one or one-vs-all strategies. It is versatile for scenarios with more than two classes, while its performance is strategy-dependent, with heightened computational costs for additional classes.

EXTREME LEARNING MACHINE

Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) is a widely used ANN in SGs due to its quick training and high accuracy. ELM plays a pivotal role in predicting energy consumption, improving load forecasting, and enhancing grid stability in SGs. ELM functions as a single-hidden layer feedforward NN, featuring randomly assigned input weights and biases, and exclusively learning output weights. It emphasises swift training with a fixed hidden layer. It can optimise grid operations by forecasting energy demand based on weather and time. ELM can also detect system failures, such as power line faults, preventing outages and improving overall grid performance. Additionally, ELMs are valuable for real-time network retraining, although they may not match the accuracy of CNNs, as shown in equation (4).

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \beta_i g_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \beta_i g(\omega_i * x_j + b_i), \ j = 1, \ldots, N$$

$L$ is the number of hidden or covert units in the NN. $N$ is the number of training samples or data points. $\beta$ represents the scaled vector between the hidden layer and the output layer, $\omega$ represents the scaled vector between the input layer and the hidden layer, $g$ is an activation function applied to the output of the hidden layer, $b$ is a bias vector typically added to the output of the hidden layer, while $x$ is the input vector to the NN. Zheng et al. (2022)
conducted a review of ELM in data stream classification, emphasising its effectiveness, universal approximation capabilities, and simplicity.\textsuperscript{25} Mohanty et al. (2021) proposed a hybrid model combining kernel ELM and an autoencoder for financial market prediction, which exhibited improved profitability analysis compared to traditional methods.\textsuperscript{26} ELM has proven to be computationally efficient for extensive datasets, particularly in big data applications. Its advantages include reduced overfitting through hidden layer randomisation and faster training times compared to traditional neural networks. Yaseen et al. (2019) used ELM for river flow forecasting and water resource management, enhancing prediction accuracy through orthogonal decomposition.\textsuperscript{27} ELM has limitations such as potential constraints on model interpretability and potential performance gaps in complex tasks requiring deep hierarchical feature learning. Additionally, the absence of iterative tuning in the hidden layer may restrict its adaptability to specific data types. Kariminia et al. (2016) applied ELM to analyse visitors’ thermal comfort in public spaces, achieving precise predictions and reducing training time.\textsuperscript{28}

Dou et al. (2022) identified a vulnerability in power system state estimators with a new cyberattack called a false data injection (FDI) attack, using online sequential ELM.\textsuperscript{29} Dewangan et al. (2022) proposed an enhanced ELM model for forecasting the stability of cyber-physical systems in SGs, considering technical and socioeconomic factors that could impact their stability.\textsuperscript{30} Zhang et al. (2020) improved intrusion detection in SGs by applying a genetic algorithm ELM, enhancing accuracy and reducing false positives.\textsuperscript{31} Naz et al. (2019) enhanced the recurrent-ELM model’s scalability and prediction accuracy, especially with larger datasets.\textsuperscript{32} Duo et al. (2019) employed a hybrid model combining Variational Mode Decomposition and online sequential ELM for FDI attack detection in SGs.\textsuperscript{33} Xue et al. (2019) introduced a predictive recovery technique for addressing incorrect data using geographical power data correlation to enhance system resilience.\textsuperscript{34} Li et al. (2018) proposed an intrusion detection system using online sequential extreme learning, optimised with the artificial bee colony-differential evolution algorithm, achieving a 95.3% accuracy rate for detecting bogus data injection attacks.\textsuperscript{35}
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DECISION TREE

Decision Trees (DT) are widely used in SGs due to their simplicity, interpretability, and versatility in handling various data types. DTs have diverse applications in SGs, including load forecasting, fault detection, and energy management. They predict electricity demand based on factors such as weather and time, allowing grid operations to be optimised, and reducing the need for extra energy generation or storage. DTs also help detect power line faults, improving grid performance. In energy management applications, DTs optimise the operation of distributed energy resources, maximising their contribution. When used in SGs, DTs can enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance grid reliability and sustainability. Figure 6 illustrates the DT workflow, and DT algorithms apply equations like (5) for classification and (6) for regression.\(^{36}\)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{c} f_i \log(f_i)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mu)^2
\]

Where, \(f_i\) is the frequency of label \(i\) at a node and \(c\) is the number of unique labels, \(y_i\) is the label for an instance, \(N\) is the number of instances and \(\mu\) is the mean given by \(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i\).

Jena and Dehuri (2020) explored the role of data mining in prediction, encompassing regression and classification.\(^{37}\) DTs are preferred for their simplicity and effectiveness, but their complexity grows with larger datasets, often requiring advanced algorithms. Zekić-Sušac and Knežević (2021) investigated the Classification and Regression Tree Algorithm (CART) and its relevance to energy cost.\(^{38}\) Kadiyala and Kumar (2018) used Python to assess various DT-based boosting algorithms and found extreme gradient boosting to perform best.\(^{39}\) Subramaniam et al. (2017) compared CARTs and Conditional Inference Trees (CTree) in terms of subgroup identification and prediction accuracy.\(^{40}\) Peng et al. (2017) emphasised CART’s significance in cocaine reward research.\(^{41}\) Heidari et al. (2013) introduced a hybrid DT and discrete wavelet transform model to facilitate the detection of islanding in distributed systems.\(^{42}\) DT models have also been applied for the transient security assessment (TSA) of power systems.\(^{43}\)

Turanzas et al. (2022) introduced an event detection algorithm for the SG focused on identifying the status and location of attacked devices. They employed two DTs to improve event detection accuracy, achieving 80.59% accuracy for status prediction and
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maintaining 79.39% for location prediction using the nested FDI algorithm.\textsuperscript{44} Da Cunha et al. (2022) employed the DT algorithm to analyse power system stability in SGs, achieving a remarkable degree of accuracy of 93% when identifying small signals within the system.\textsuperscript{45} Tehrani et al. (2020) utilised DTs, Random Forest (RF), and gradient boosting algorithms to detect non-technical losses in power consumption data, achieving accuracy rates of 87%, 88.1%, and 88.6% for DT, RF, and gradient boosting, respectively.\textsuperscript{46} Taghavinejad et al. (2020) stressed the significance of IoT security in SGs and proposed a hybrid DT method with 83.14% accuracy in detecting technical losses, outperforming DT, KNN, and SVM with accuracy rates of 80.90%, 79.12%, and 78.52%, respectively. Eissa et al. (2019) compared DT’s role in load optimisation to other methods, noting its efficient management of local heat ventilation and air conditioning units.\textsuperscript{47} Radoglou-Grammatikis et al. (2019) introduced an intrusion detection system based on DT for safeguarding advanced metering infrastructure, achieving high accuracy and a true positive rate.\textsuperscript{48} Wang and Kong (2019) enhanced air quality predictive modelling in a “weather-smart grid” through DT-based techniques.\textsuperscript{49} Singh and Govindarasu (2018) presented an intelligent remedial action scheme for detecting cyber-attacks and physical disturbances in the SG.\textsuperscript{50} Steer et al. (2012) demonstrated the effectiveness of DT ensembles, referred to as “forests”, in delivering near-ideal control strategies in real-time.\textsuperscript{51}

**RANDOM FOREST**

RF, a widely used ML algorithm, is prominent in the SG industry for its high accuracy and ability to handle complex datasets. In SG-related applications, RF excels at load forecasting, fault detection, and energy management, optimising grid operations and reducing the need for additional energy generation. It also enhances grid performance by detecting and diagnosing power transmission line faults and improving sustainability by optimising distributed energy resources. The integration of DTs into the RF algorithm to make predictions involves the combination of independent DT models through majority voting. Gini Importance, as implemented in Scikit-learn, evaluates feature relevance in each DT, as illustrated in equations (7) and (8). An overview of the RF algorithm is provided in Equation (9).\textsuperscript{52}
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The following formula is used to determine each feature’s relevance on a DT:

\[ n_{ij} = w_{ij}c_j - w_{\text{left}(j)c_{\text{left}(j)} - w_{\text{right}(j)c_{\text{right}(j)}} \]  

(7)

At the RF level, the ultimate feature relevance is determined by finding its average over all trees. Calculating the relevance of each attribute for each tree, then dividing that total by the number of trees, yields:

\[ f_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{\text{node } j \text{ splits on features } i} n_{ij}}{\sum_{\text{all nodes}} n_{jk}} \]  

(8)

\[ \text{norm} f_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_{i} f_{ij}} \]  

(9)

\[ RFf_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{\text{all trees}} \text{norm} f_{ij}}{T} \]  

(10)

Where, \( n_{sub(j)} \) = the value of node j, \( w_{sub(j)} \) = scaled number of samples reaching node j, \( C_{sub(j)} \) = the value of the impurity node j, \( \text{left}(j) = \) toddler node from the left split on node j, \( \text{right}(j) = \) toddler node from right split on node j, \( f_{sub(i)} = \) the importance of feature i, \( n_{sub(j)} = \) the importance of node j, \( RFf_{sub(i)} = \) the importance of feature i calculated from all trees in the RF model, \( \text{norm} f_{sub(ij)} = \) the normalised feature importance for i in tree j, T = total number of trees.

Recently, RF has been successfully applied in water resource applications, offering predictive power with simplicity and speed. RF aids in urban surface thermal environment analysis\(^{53}\) and enhances high-voltage circuit breaker diagnosis through a hybrid RF and stacked Autoencoder model.\(^{54}\) Its interpretability supports applications requiring understanding, and its efficiency and minimal parameter adjustment make it a valuable tool.\(^{55}\)

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic Regression (LR) is a widely employed statistical model in the field of SGs, being particularly well-suited for classification tasks focused on predicting event probabilities based on predictor variables. In SG applications, LR is effective in tasks such as load forecasting, fault detection, and energy management, and has gained favour among practitioners and researchers. For example, LR can predict the likelihood of power outages based on factors including weather and infrastructure age, thus contributing to enhanced grid reliability. Furthermore, LR aids in optimising the operation of distributed energy resources such as solar panels and wind turbines by predicting their capacity to meet
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electricity demand. In summary, LR enhances efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and grid reliability and sustainability in SG. LR algorithms are applied for both classification and regression techniques, while Equation (11) embodies the core equation for evaluating the LR ML algorithm.\(^\text{56}\)

\[
y = \frac{e^{(b_0 + b_1 x)}}{1 + e^{(b_0 + b_1 x)}}
\]

Where \(x\) = input value, \(y\) = predicted output, \(b_0\) is bias or intercept term, \(b_1\) is the coefficient for input \((x)\).

Drilling engineering, a crucial aspect of gas and oil exploration, involves significant investments and technological complexities. Deng et al. (2021) used LR algorithms to predict the rate of penetration, contributing to the field.\(^\text{57}\) Hewett et al. (2020) proposed a five-factor maximum model for risk prediction with LR in drilling engineering.\(^\text{58}\) Sun et al. (2018) focused on environmental ecosystem monitoring, employing an early-warning LR model for timely alerts.\(^\text{59}\) Additionally, Bashir et al. (2021) found LR to outperform other classification algorithms, achieving high precision and accuracy in experiments.\(^\text{60}\)

**ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

The reliability of various ML algorithms in SG applications can be assessed using a dependability score based on performance metric normalisation. Equation (12), developed by Band et al. (2022), demonstrates the min-max normalisation process for these metrics, ensuring comparability with scores ranging from 0 to 1.\(^\text{61}\)

\[
Y_N = \frac{f(\text{Accuracy}, \text{Precision}, \text{Recall}, \text{RMSE}, \text{Correlation Coefficient}) - Y_{\text{min}}}{Y_{\text{max}} - Y_{\text{min}}}
\]

The dependability scores have been divided into four zones for easier interpretation:

1. Low if \(0 \leq Y_N < 0.25\)
2. Moderate if \(0.25 \leq Y_N < 0.5\)
3. High if \(0.5 \leq Y_N < 0.75\)
4. Very high if \(0.75 \leq Y_N < 1.0\)
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According to the reliability analysis, the most reliable ML algorithm for SG applications is ANN. Additionally, ELM, RF, and LR exhibit comparatively high levels of reliability within the SG context.

**Efficiency analysis**

Figure 5 illustrates an efficiency analysis using processing time as a metric for the surveyed ML methods, employing min-max normalisation for score comparability shown in Equation (13).\(^{62}\)

\[
X_N = \frac{\text{Absolute processing time(s)}}{\text{data samples}} \frac{X_{\text{min}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}} \quad (13)
\]

The equation defines the normalisation of processing time scores using the min-max method, yielding scores between 0 and 1, and categorises them into four zones for clarity.

1. Low if \(0 \leq X_N < 0.25\)
2. Moderate if \(0.25 \leq X_N < 0.5\)
3. High if \(0.5 \leq X_N < 0.75\)
4. Very high if \(0.75 \leq X_N < 1.0\)

A lower score signifies faster ML algorithms, with ANN demonstrating the fastest performance, while DL and hybrid/ensemble models exhibit reduced speed due to their intricate computational structure.

**Table 1: Novel studies based on ML-based method in SG**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ML-based method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al. 2021</td>
<td>Addressing the load shifting associated with demand response</td>
<td>Customer incentive pricing</td>
<td>IET SG</td>
<td>Sliding time window technique, genetic algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarmouni et al. 2021</td>
<td>Ensuring the safety of electricity for consumers while maximising the integration of RESs</td>
<td>Multiple-source energy management</td>
<td>International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems</td>
<td>Multilayer perceptron network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu &amp; Shu 2021</td>
<td>Implementing a hybrid ML model to minimise false attacks in SG</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Computers and Security</td>
<td>Gradient-based and population-based algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al. 2021</td>
<td>Suggesting a cyber detection method for recognising cyber intrusions in the SG</td>
<td>FDI system</td>
<td>International Journal of Engineering, Transactions B: Applications</td>
<td>Intelligent Loop Based-ANN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{62}\) Band et al. 2022.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ML-based method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teekaraman et al. 2022</td>
<td>Identifying regression losses within the SG</td>
<td>Loss identification in large-scale system</td>
<td>Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing</td>
<td>LSTM, heuristic algorithm, Adaptive ARIMA, Linear regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bao et al. 2022</td>
<td>Optimising the dispatch of the SG through a multi-objective approach</td>
<td>Forecasting the actual load</td>
<td>Mobile Information Systems</td>
<td>Multi-particle swarm optimisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. 2022</td>
<td>Real-time assessment of regulatory system risks</td>
<td>Communication and data security</td>
<td>Journal of Shenyang University of Technology</td>
<td>CNN-SVM classification model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dou et al. 2022</td>
<td>Evaluating the detection accuracy and assessing the impact of attack intensity and environmental noise on the performance of the SG</td>
<td>FDI attack</td>
<td>CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems</td>
<td>Variational Mode Decomposition, OS-ELM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. 2020</td>
<td>Enhances the accuracy, detection rate, and precision of intrusion detection while minimising the false positive rate</td>
<td>Intrusion detection</td>
<td>Energies</td>
<td>Online sequential-ELM, GA-ELM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh &amp; Govindarasu 2018</td>
<td>Reducing the impact on both system reliability and economic factors</td>
<td>Anomaly detection</td>
<td>IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting</td>
<td>Special Protection Scheme, Intelligent Remedial Action Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steer et al. 2012</td>
<td>Implementing a receding horizon controller to dynamically adjust the output of the SG in real-time</td>
<td>Online operation of SG</td>
<td>Energy Conversion and Management</td>
<td>C4.5 algorithm, Particle swarm optimisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganesan et al. 2022</td>
<td>Regularly monitoring the operational status of wearable sensing devices in the SG</td>
<td>Human activity recognition</td>
<td>Mathematical Problems in Engineering</td>
<td>K-means ++ algorithm, RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. 2021</td>
<td>Enhancing energy efficiency and promoting the use of clean energy</td>
<td>Energy optimisation</td>
<td>IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al. 2020</td>
<td>Enhancing the precision, recall rate of fault prediction, and reducing the rate of negative samples</td>
<td>Fault prediction</td>
<td>Enterprise Information Systems</td>
<td>Voted RF algorithm, NSGA algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Contribution</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>ML-based method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldovan 2021</td>
<td>Categorising the stability status of an SG</td>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems</td>
<td>Improved Kangaroo Mob Optimisation, LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manoharan et al. 2020</td>
<td>Identifying optimal solutions for energy consumption, distance, and cost</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Energies</td>
<td>Binary LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukherjee et al. 2020</td>
<td>Developing a cost-effective solution for interconnecting electrical and electronic devices</td>
<td>Lightweight sustainable intelligent LF</td>
<td>Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems</td>
<td>KNN-regressor model, SVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naz et al. 2019</td>
<td>Ensuring the effectiveness of load scheduling and reducing prices</td>
<td>Short-term electric load and price forecasting</td>
<td>Energies</td>
<td>Enhanced-LR, Enhanced Recurrent ELM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** compiled by the authors

![Figure 5: The score for processing speed of ML-based methods used to SG applications](image)

**Figure 5:** The score for processing speed of ML-based methods used to SG applications

**Source:** compiled by the authors

Figure 6 shows the evaluation criteria used in the reviewed papers for ML methods, where such metrics as accuracy, precision, recall, correlation coefficient, and various error-related measurements are prevalent, while specialised or complementary metrics are less frequently employed.

Obtaining precise accuracy percentages for different ML algorithms in SG data security is challenging due to factors including application, training data quality, problem complexity, and hyperparameters. Typically, more complex algorithms, such as ANNs and SVMs, tend to achieve higher accuracy compared to simpler ones like DT and KNN. However, actual accuracy varies depending on the specific application and data quality. It is important to consider factors beyond accuracy, including computational resources, model interpretability, and generalisation capabilities. Figure 6 depicts accuracy values, highlighting the lower accuracy produced by single ML models (e.g. ANN, DE, binary LR, Feed Forward NNs) across various SG applications.
Multiple ML algorithms are useful for SG applications, including LR for continuous value predictions, DTs for adaptable classification, RF for ensemble learning, ANN for various types of parameter forecasting, SVM for its trade-off between high accuracy and processing speed, and ELM for rapid scalability. Selection of the most appropriate algorithm for a task hinges on the specific challenges and data attributes within SG contexts.

Figure 6: Comparison of the models’ accuracies
Source: compiled by the authors

Figure 7 depicts the allocation of ML methods across diverse SG applications, with ANN and RF models being prevalent in security. Additionally, ELM and ANN excel at FDI, whereas ELM is predominant in customer incentive pricing, while LR and ANN dominate energy management. RF emerges as a suitable choice for real-time voltage stability monitoring in the SG. ML algorithms play a crucial role in optimising Demand Response by accurately predicting energy demand in the Grid, thereby enhancing program efficiency and grid management. Google’s DeepMind utilises ML for renewable energy integration, specifically in wind farms, improving their overall efficiency through advanced wind forecasting and turbine optimisation. Predictive analytics powered by ML contribute to grid stability and predictive maintenance, proactively identifying potential equipment failures. ML is applied for energy theft detection, analysing consumption patterns to prevent unauthorised usage. ML models are employed to improve the grid’s resilience to natural disasters, simulating and strategising for potential impacts post-events. ML is also leveraged for electric vehicle integration, by optimising charging infrastructure and alleviating strain on distribution networks. ML is utilised for grid anomaly detection, swiftly identifying and responding to cybersecurity threats and unexpected grid behaviour in real-time.
Figure 7: Distribution of the utilisation percentages of various ML techniques in this study among diverse application categories within the field of SG
Source: compiled by the authors

Limitations, challenges, and future trends

The definition of AI varies by time. Therefore, this study instead of reviewing the AI methods, enforced limitation to only investigate standard ML methods. The deep learning methods had been excluded from this study and can be studied in a future research. There are some limitations in the usefulness of ML for SG Applications: a) data quality and variability – ML models face difficulties due to the quality and variability of SG data, affecting their performance as they struggle with inaccuracies and fluctuations; b) interpretability – some ML models exhibit inherent complexity, reducing their interpretability. This limitation hinders a clear understanding of decision-making processes, which is crucial in critical infrastructure like SGs; c) scalability – the growth of SG systems raises concerns about scalability, particularly when handling extensive data processing and resource-intensive ML algorithms. The challenges arising from the use of ML for SG applications included: A) privacy and ethical concerns – striking a balance between the demand for data-driven insights and privacy considerations presents a significant challenge. Careful implementation of privacy-preserving measures and ethical considerations is thus essential; B) regulatory compliance – continuous adherence to evolving regulations and ensuring compliance with legal frameworks governing ML applications in SGs poses an ongoing challenge for system operators and developers; C) cybersecurity risks – ML models and the data they handle are susceptible to cyber threats, demanding the implementation of robust cybersecurity measures to safeguard against potential attacks and breaches. The likely future trends in ML for SG applications may include: A) explainable AI- anticipated future ML models in SGs will prioritise enhancing interpretability through explainable-AI techniques, fostering transparency and trust in decision-making processes; B) edge computing for real-time processing: The integration of edge computing with ML in SGs is set to revolutionise real-time data processing at the edge, reducing latency, and
enhancing overall system responsiveness; C) federated learning – the future may witness 
the rise of federated learning, emphasising decentralised model training across devices. 
This approach is expected to address privacy concerns effectively by keeping sensitive data 
localised; D) hybrid models – an emerging trend is the combination of traditional physics-
based models with ML techniques, leading to more accurate and robust SG models that 
leverage the strengths of both approaches.

CONCLUSION

This article studied the ML applications in SGs, conducted a systematic review, introducing 
a novel taxonomy, and carried out a thorough comparative performance evaluation. The 
well-structured taxonomy established a foundation for categorising and comprehending 
various ML approaches within SG context. The performance evaluation not only 
illuminates the strengths and weaknesses of the various ML models, but also furnishes 
invaluable insights for practitioners aiming to implement effective solutions in real-world 
SG scenarios. Navigating the intricate landscape of contemporary energy distribution, the 
integration of ML not only becomes a technological imperative but also a transformative 
catalyst, amplifying efficiency, resilience, and sustainability in SG operations. This 
research not only contributes to the academic debate, but can also serve as a pragmatic 
guide for engineers, system operators, and policymakers striving to advance and optimise 
SG infrastructures. This research found that the applications of ANNs, ELM and RF 
methods are popular. Looking forward, the findings presented underscore the dynamic 
nature of the field, fostering continual research, development, and collaboration to propel 
innovation and tackle the evolving challenges in SG management.

TABLE OF ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESs</td>
<td>Renewable Energy Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>Smart Grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Machine Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>K-Nearest Neighbours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Deep Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Artificial Neural Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN</td>
<td>Neural Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Support Vector Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELM</td>
<td>Extreme Learning Machines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CART</td>
<td>Classification and Regression Tree Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTree</td>
<td>Conditional Inference tree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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