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1. ‘Comprehensive’ thoughts

There have been significant reforms in Hungary since 2010, and these increased efforts toward policy-making are a stark contrast to the relatively silent two-decade-long period immediately after the regime change, from approximately 1989/1990 to 2010. Yet, it also reflects Hungary’s shift towards an action-driven and fast-paced environment where rapid legislative and government (as well as constitutional) decisions can occur.

2. Good governance
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In the 1990s, the term ‘good governance’ began emerging fairly often as a demand on behalf of international financial institutions toward recipient countries concerning the structure and operation of their political institutions.\(^7\) Namely, that neither concrete objectives of development policies nor general macroeconomic ideas can be realized without having an operational system of governance, regulation, and implementation in a country’s political and economic units.\(^8\) Over the years, there has been an evolution in the understanding of ‘good governance.’ Currently, this term defines characteristics of a desirable regulating and governing system, and represents a general reference framework for the evaluation of political governance.\(^9\)

Good governance is an global phenomenon, and as such it is and should be considered at the international level. A legally binding norm is either the part of a national legal system, or somehow belongs to the international law (treaty law, customary international law).\(^10\) Legal systems themselves are of course not free from the influence of globalization, as interchange of legal institutions and interactions between legal orders are everyday phenomena in our world today.\(^11\)

In international treaty law (conventions of human rights) three fundamental rights, the freedom of opinion and freedom of expression,\(^12\) the right to peaceful assembly\(^13\) and the freedom of association\(^14\) are crucial preconditions to good governance.\(^15\) Additionally, a non-discrimination clause can be considered as a basic prerequisite for all the principles of good governance.\(^16\)

Equality as the principle of good governance is guaranteed in the non-discrimination clauses of the International Bill of Human Rights.\(^17\) Article 2 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) contains a non-discrimination clause that is amplified by Article 3, which contains an undertaking to respect the principle of equality of men and women in enjoyment of rights secured.\(^18\) Good governance is not only understood at national or state levels, it is also a set of principles for good urban government, and better local governments. The main principles of good (urban) governance are: equity, civic engagement, transparency, and accountability, which all incorporate aspects of human rights law. Other principles like sustainability, subsidiarity, efficiency, and security do not have any existence in human rights laws. In this regard, it can be stated, that the five core principles of good
governance are: equity, efficiency or effectiveness, accountability, participation and security. UN-HABITAT’s proposed list of seven norms can be subsumed under these abovementioned five principles.

The United Nations Millennium Declaration clearly states that good governance is a key tool for the elimination of poverty. This Declaration is not legally binding, but it is a document of intent in which the Member States of the UN commit themselves to promote good governance within their countries. This applies to all level of governance, including at the state, regional, and urban governance levels.

Although the term ‘good governance’ has no generally accepted definition, its elements – the characteristics of a desirable governance – re-emerge in different descriptions: participative, equitable and inclusive, it is in line with the rule of law and is transparent, responsive, effective and efficient, and consensus-oriented and accountable. These principles are general enough to say that their validity is not limited to present governance; they can be found – although not always explicitly – in the political thought of the past as well.

Upon closer examination of the main legal elements of good governance, equity can be defined as equal access to decision-making processes, and the basic necessities of local and community life. Practically, this means the inclusion and creation of fair and predictable regulatory frameworks, according to relevant international documents.

Efficiency refers to the ratio between the outputs (products, services) and inputs (resources used). Efficiency or effectiveness requires that institutions and processes achieve their goals while making the best use of resources. Effective governing is when policy goals are achieved at the lowest possible cost and in due time. Effective governing is concerned with the effective coordination of key policy actors: state institutions and social actors.

Civic engagement implies that living together is not a passive exercise; it pertains to people’s right to participation and those mechanisms supporting people’s participation. Civic engagement is well guaranteed in Article 25 of the ICCPR. Practical means of realizing these norms include inter alia, establishing the legal authority for civil society to participate effectively in such mechanisms as development councils. Therefore participation is also often considered a cornerstone of good governance.

The key element of participation is that the interests of almost every citizen, every class of society – including people with the lowest ability to promote
their concerns – should be taken into account in the course of political decision making. This requirement can be fulfilled with direct participation of the people and by the representative organizations as well. According to Frivaldszky (2012), the popularity of presently emerging ideas of ‘good governance,’ as well as their increased legitimacy is, “not only due to governmental effectiveness, but also to the closely related participatory governance.” And citizen participation is a key element in promoting transparency and accountability.

Transparency and accountability are of key importance if we want to increase the quality of governance. Transparency is an invaluable element and precondition to any government reforms. This is easy to comprehend: if the citizen possesses more knowledge, he has access to more possibilities.

However, in countries where government upholds and distorts information about the economy and economic policy, the independent media can become weak, or the parliament cannot control the government, and the quality of governance decreases.

The accountability of local, regional and national authorities to their citizens is a fundamental tenet of good governance. Similarly, there should be no place for corruption in any state organization, because it can undermine government credibility and deepen poverty. Transparency and accountability are essential to a stakeholder’s understanding of government, and to who is benefiting from decisions and actions. Access to information is therefore fundamental to this understanding and to good governance. Furthermore, laws and public policies should be practised in a transparent and predictable manner. Elected and appointed officials and other civil servant leaders must set an example of high standards of professional and personal integrity.

Among practical means of realizing these norms we can observe, inter alia:

– transparent tendering and procurement procedures and the use of integrity pacts and monitoring mechanisms in the process;
– regular, independently executed programmes to test public officials’ integrity response;
– promoting an ethic of service to the public among officials while putting into place adequate remuneration for public servants; and
– establishing codes of conduct and provision for regular disclosure of assets of public officials and elected representatives.
Transparency requires decision-making processes which follow clear regulations. Information concerning that process should be freely available for affected citizens. Further, not only must the decision-making be transparent, the enforcement of the decision is also subject to open procedural rules.

Transparency is a key feature of not just the government, but of the private sector as well, and there is a correlation between the need for transparency in the public and private sectors. Unfortunately, where transparency and accountability of the public sphere is low, the probability of ‘state capture’ and other kinds of illegal business influences on the governance is higher.

3. Good administration

Good public administration is a prerequisite for good governance. The expression ‘good administration’ has become somewhat fashionable and appears in various instruments both in European and in national level, but authors provide varying definitions. It is therefore necessary first to acknowledge that there are differing concepts of good governance at the international and European levels.

The European Union Charter of Fundamental rights and freedoms includes the right to good administration. This Article 41 is based on the existence of the Union as subject to the rule of law, the characteristics of which were developed in the case law enshrining inter alia good administration as a general principle of law. According to the Charter, every person has the right to have his or her affairs handled impartially, fairly, and within a reasonable time by the institutions, bodies, and agencies of the Union. The right to good administration is only applicable in legal relations with EU institutions, irrespective of national authorities, although there are scholars who argue the need to extend it to them, at least when applying EU law.

This right includes:

- the right of every person to be heard, before any individual measure which would affect him or her adversely is taken;
- the right of every person to have access to his or her file, while respecting the legitimate interests of confidentiality and of professional and business secrecy; and
- the obligation of the administration to give reasons for its decision(s).
This right is understood more widely by the Recommendation of the Council of Europe. The basic principles, introduced by the Recommendation on good public administration, establish the goodness of public administration by the basic values of the rule of law: legality, equality, impartiality, proportionality, legal certainty, proceeding within reasonable time, involvement, respect for privacy, and transparency. According to the Recommendation, public authorities shall act in accordance with the law. Public authorities shall further act in accordance with rules defining their powers and procedures laid down in their governing rules and exercise their powers only if the established facts and the applicable law entitle them to do so, and solely for the purpose for which they have been conferred. This Recommendation also includes several suggestions to Member States in promoting good governance. Among them, one is of the adoption of standards established in a model code which is attached as an appendix to the Recommendation itself. However, this Recommendation is directed to the exercise of public power at the national (not the international) level, but with the increasing powers of international organizations, the principles listed are also becoming of growing relevance at the international level.

The requirements of a right to good administration stem from the fundamental principles of the rule of law, such as those of lawfulness, equality, impartiality, proportionality, legal certainty, taking action within a reasonable time limit, participation, respect for privacy, and transparency. While the rule of law is clearly a constitutional idea, its concretization in specific standards should be regarded as being of a more administrative nature. These principles provide procedures to protect the rights and interests of private persons, inform them and enable them to participate in the adoption of administrative decisions.

Jurisprudence distinguishes three aspects of the rule of law. Firstly, the principle expresses a ‘preference for law and order within a community rather than anarchy’, which is the philosophical view of society linked with basic democratic notions. Secondly, the rule of law, ‘expresses a legal doctrine of fundamental importance, namely that government must be conducted according to law, and that in disputed cases what the law requires is declared by judicial decision’. Thirdly, ‘the rule of law refers to a body of political opinion about what the detailed rules of law should provide’ in matters both of substance and of procedure.
Under the Council of Europe, understanding good administration is an aspect of good governance. It is not just concerned with legal arrangements, but depends on the quality of organization and management, and it must meet the requirements of effectiveness, efficiency, and relevance as determined by the needs of society. Good administration must maintain, uphold, and safeguard public property and other public interests. It must comply with budgetary requirements, and (similarly to the UN requirements) it must preclude all forms of corruption. Any form of good administration is also dependent on adequate human resources available to the public authorities, and on the qualities and appropriate training of public officials.

The European legislator now focuses ‘not just on specific administrative acts, but also on the administrative procedures themselves. In other words, there has been a shift in emphasis from the outcome of administrative action (result) to the administrative behaviour (functioning).’

4. Measures of the Hungarian State for the vindication of the good governance’s principles

The following is to consider what Hungary has done for the vindication of the principles of good governance.

4.1 Provisions of the Hungarian Constitution

On 1 January 2012 the new Constitution of Hungary entered into force, and is referred to as ‘Fundamental Law’ or ‘Basic Law’. Fundamental Law incorporates many provisions that are in close connection, and therefore contributing, to the enforcement of the principles of good governance. When compared to the former Constitution, some of these provisions are new, but some were also previously present.

The National Avowal of the Fundamental Law states that:

– We believe that we have a general duty to help the vulnerable and the poor. As it was previously mentioned, the United Nations Millennium Declaration clearly states that good governance is a key tool for the elimination of poverty.
– We believe that the common goal of the citizens and the State is to achieve a good quality of life, safety, order, justice, and liberty. Referring back to philosophical views of good governance, happiness and the ‘good life’ for people can prove the worthiness and efficiency of government actions.67

– We hold that democracy is only possible where the State serves its citizens and administers their affairs in an equitable manner, without prejudice or abuse.

Additionally:

– Article B states: Hungary is a state under the rule of law.68
– Article N declares the principle of balanced, transparent and sustainable budget management, and in the course of performing their duties, all state organs (public administrative authorities, local governments, etc.) shall be obliged to respect this principle.

– Article XXIV declares the key element of the right to good administration, that is: Every person shall have the right to have his or her affairs administered by the authorities in an impartial, fair and reasonably timely manner. This right shall include the obligation of the authorities to justify their decisions as determined by law.69

The impact of the Charter is rather obvious on this provision because the last phrase of Article 41 para. 1 and 2 item C are textually present here (administration in an impartial, fair and reasonably timely manner, and the obligation to justify decisions).70 Equal contents can be found in para. 2 of the Fundamental Law and Article 41 para. 3 of the Charter, both regulating compensation of the damages from unlawful conduct.71

4.2 Magyary Zoltán Public Administration Development Program

The Magyary Zoltán Public Administration Development Program (the Magyary Program), which was expanded in 2011, contains the reforms and principles of the public administration system which was introduced by the conservative government that formed in 2010. The Magyary Program72 is rooted the concept of the good state, which itself is closely linked to concepts of good governance, and good administration.73

The Magyary Program became one of the frameworks, and the continuously renewing and ‘rephrased’ base – beyond public administration – of the Hungarian government’s ideas regarding the good state after 2010.74
The ‘good state’ concept, which is closely related to the idea of good governance and good administration underpins the ethical norms of both the common good and good public service. If one had to define the core idea of the ‘good state’ one could say that it is the ability to reflect on real social problems. And, that it emerged as a solution for an impending crisis further underlines the significance of legal requirements towards a good state and stresses that necessity.

The Magyary Program on the one hand set down the legitimacy and results of the reform that began in 2010, but also appointed the public administration reform’s main tasks. The Magyary Program also elaborates on the characteristics of the good state. According to the Magyary Program (and to the opinion of the Ministry for Public Administration and Justice) this concept is able to mark the main direction for the state management model. The concept of the Good State as a definition could be understood as follows:

A state may be regarded as good if it serves the needs of individuals, communities and businesses in the interest and within the boundaries of the common good, in the best possible way. The concept of common good is that:

– the State creates a lawful and equitable balance between a number of interests and needs, allowing the enforcement of claims in this way and provides protection;

– the State proceeds with due responsibility in the interest of the protection and preservation of the nation’s natural and cultural heritage; and

– the only self-interest of the State is that it should be able to enforce the above two elements of the common good (under any circumstances and of course effectively); in other words, the State should create an effective rule of law, therefore should provide the functioning of its institutions, and should provide the honouring and accountability of individual and collective rights.

A smart state is defined as: good state, simpler state, better public services, better procedures, better civil, and public servants.

5. Summary

Good governance is a global phenomenon and should be understood at the international level. Norms, requirements, and the supporting practical means previously addressed are based on the international law of human rights, and are binding to all member states of the UN. The UN General Assembly’s Millennium Declaration (while not legally binding under international law)
stresses the following: success in meeting the objectives of conducive
development and elimination of poverty in the world depends, inter alia, on
good governance in each country. It also depends – according to the UN
Declaration – on good governance at the international level and on
transparency in financial, monetary, and trading systems.82

Good public administration is a prerequisite for good governance. The
European Union Charter of Fundamental rights and freedoms include the
right to good administration. The Fundamental Law of Hungary (the
Constitution which entered into force in 2012) incorporates many provisions
that are in close connection, and therefore contributing to, the enforcement of
the principles of good governance. The impact of the Charter is obvious on
these provisions of Fundamental Law. The Hungarian Government set the
formation of a good state as its objective. The Magyary Program established
the legitimacy and results of the reform that began in 2010, and also appointed
the public administration reform’s additional main tasks. The Magyary
Program elaborates on the characteristics of the good state.
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